



# Enhancing photocatalytic performance of TiO<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>2</sub> evolution via Ru co-catalyst deposition

Weiyei Ouyang<sup>a</sup>, Mario J. Muñoz-Batista<sup>a,\*</sup>, Anna Kubacka<sup>b</sup>, Rafael Luque<sup>a,c</sup>,  
Marcos Fernández-García<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Química Orgánica, Universidad de Córdoba, Edif. Marie Curie, Ctra Nnal IV-A, Km 396, E14014, Córdoba, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Instituto de Catálisis y Petroquímica CSIC, Marie Curie 2, 28049, Madrid, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Peoples Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), 6 Miklukho-Maklaya Str., Moscow, 117198, Russia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Photo-catalysis  
Titania  
Ruthenium  
Quantum efficiency  
H<sub>2</sub> photo-production

## ABSTRACT

A series of ruthenium catalysts supported into pure anatase titania were tested in the photo-production of hydrogen from methanol:water mixtures under UV and visible illumination conditions. Catalysts containing 1, 2, 3 and 5 wt.% of ruthenium were subjected to a characterization study with the help of X-ray diffraction, Raman, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, photoluminescence, morphology as well as scanning and transmission electron microscopy. Through the measurement of the optical properties of the suspension of the catalysts and the hydrogen photo-production reaction rate we calculate the true quantum efficiency. Optimum activity is presented by the catalyst with a 3 wt.% of Ru component, which shows quantum efficiency values of ca. 3.1 and 0.6% under, respectively, UV and visible light illumination. Careful examination of the physico-chemical properties of the solid allows to establish a correlation between the ruthenium surface exposed and the quantum efficiency. The implications of such result to justify chemical activity of the ruthenium supported samples are discussed both for UV and visible illumination conditions.

## 1. Introduction

Photocatalytic materials play a role in the development of the new, greener society required for the XXI century by opening solutions for the protection of the environment as well as for the production of chemical energy vectors from sustainable materials and sources. [1,2,11,3–10] In this regards, hydrogen has received considerable attention as a next-generation energy carrier. One of the most interesting processes is the photo-water-splitting which is as a clean and renewable way to produce hydrogen using solar energy [4,12–15]. It has, however, some limitation. The overall water splitting reaction is an uphill reaction with a large positive change in Gibbs free energy. Besides, there is an activation barrier in the charge-transfer process between photocatalysts and water molecules, consequently a photon energy greater than the band gap of the photocatalyst to obtain reasonable reaction rates is necessary [15]. In this regard, a versatile reaction within the field of sustainable energy considers the production of hydrogen from raw materials extracted from bio-based processes and sunlight. Among them, the use of bioalcohols is common from the beginning of the research considering hydrogen photo-production. Methanol is the simple molecule among bio-alcohol and has been used as a

model reactant in this photo-catalytic process. [16–21] The reaction is initiated by a hole attack to the alcohol and its further evolution in carbon-containing products, evolving protons concomitantly. The role of water in the reaction is complex but at least takes part in the activation of some specific carbon containing molecules such as aldehydes to produce carboxylates as well as to promote the evolution of this last species [3,22]. In any case, a critical point of the catalytic cycle is the electron attack to the protons to generate hydrogen. As well known, these reaction steps occur with the help of a co-catalyst to boost the reaction rates. Pt has been customarily utilized as it provides the higher reaction rates [16,23,24].

Alternatives to Pt have been continuously essayed in the literature. In this search, other metal nobles such as Pd or Au showed similar activity. [19,25,26] However, for economic reasons, desirable alternatives would be out of these three noble metals. The use of non-noble metals has captured the attention of researchers with a significant number of works considering the use of Cu or Ni [27–32]. In relative contrast, the use of Ru has been significantly less explored. In fact, for the photo-production of hydrogen from bioalcohols, the use of ruthenium out of molecular complexes/compounds has been presented only in a single reference study to our knowledge [33]. The Ru-TiO<sub>2</sub> system

\* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: [qo2mubam@uco.es](mailto:qo2mubam@uco.es), [jmunoz385x@gmail.com](mailto:jmunoz385x@gmail.com) (M.J. Muñoz-Batista), [mfg@icp.csic.es](mailto:mfg@icp.csic.es) (M. Fernández-García).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.07.046>

Received 17 May 2018; Received in revised form 9 July 2018; Accepted 14 July 2018

Available online 17 July 2018

0926-3373/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

showed relatively high activity but the study does not present an analysis of the quantum efficiency of the reaction, limiting a possible quantitative comparison with results from other metals. In addition, Ru can provide interesting activity (compared with the most used noble metals as Pt, Pd and Au) under visible light illumination due to the inherent high absorption of the corresponding catalyst. Such capability relies on the existence of plasmon resonances directly ascribable to the Ru(IV) oxide. [34–36]

Here we will provide a study of the activity of Ru containing titania materials in the photo-production of hydrogen using a series of materials with growing quantities of ruthenium. As said, we particularly focus on measuring the activity on true basis by calculating the true quantum efficiency. This would allow quantitative comparison with other systems and thus to analyze the goodness of ruthenium for hydrogen photo-generation. According to the IUPAC recommendations, the calculation of the quantum efficiency requires the measurement of the reaction rate as well as the local volumetric rate of photon absorption. [37] To do it, we carried out the measurements of the optical properties of the catalysts suspensions and the modelling of the light-matter interaction at the reactor used to measure the catalytic properties. The study further considered with a complete characterization of the materials using X-ray diffraction (XRD), Raman, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), photoluminescence, porosimetry measurements, UV–vis spectroscopy as well as microscopy tools such as transmission and scanning microscopies combined with electron dispersion x-ray analysis (EDX). With these tools, we attempt to rationalize the activity of the materials and particularly the maximization of the quantum efficiency occurring through the series of catalysts varying the ruthenium content. We also compared the performance of Ruthenium with Platinum/Palladium containing materials supported on pure anatase (or materials having a dominant anatase phase) from literature.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Catalysts synthesis

#### 2.1.1. Synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub>

In the present work, reversed micro-emulsion method was applied in the synthesis of TiO<sub>2</sub>. Briefly, 177.4 mL Triton and 185.4 mL of hexanol were sequentially added into 854 mL n-heptane as surfactant and co-surfactant and mixed under stirring at 400 rpm for 30 min. Afterward, 100 MiliQ water was added to the above solution and the mixture was kept stirring for 1 h, followed by dropwise addition of titanium precursor solution (14.7 mL titanium isopropoxide dissolved into 24.4 mL isopropanol). The corresponding mixture was stirred overnight, then dispersion phase was removed using centrifugation for 15 min at 10,000 rpm, 5 °C. The obtained solids were then washed with methanol under stirring (15 min) and recovered using centrifugation under the same conditions. The solids were dried at 70 °C for overnight after washing and then annealed at 600 °C for 30 min (ramping rate: 1 °C/min).

#### 2.1.2. Incorporation of Ru on TiO<sub>2</sub>

Firstly, 0.5 g TiO<sub>2</sub> was added to 100 mL MiliQ water, followed by sonication for 30 min. Then, a different amount of RuCl<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O was added to the mixture to obtain 1, 2, 3, 5 wt. % loading of Ru on the TiO<sub>2</sub> support and sonicated for 5 min. Subsequently, an appropriate volume of 0.1 mol/L NaBH<sub>4</sub> solution (molar ratio, Ru: NaBH<sub>4</sub> = 1:5) was added to the mixture which was kept stirring for 1 h. The above process was performed under N<sub>2</sub> bubbling protection. At last, the solids were recovered by centrifugation (10,000 rpm, 15 min, 5 °C) and washed with deionized water, dried at 80 °C for overnight. The as-synthesized samples were named as 1 Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>, 2Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>, 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> and 5Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> respectively.

### 2.2. Characterization

Seifert D-500 diffractometer equipped with Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation was employed to record XRD pattern of the as-synthesized samples with a 0.02° step. Raman spectra of samples were collected with an iHR320 Horiba Jobin-Yvon spectrometer equipped with a He:Ne laser (633 nm). Nitrogen physisorption was performed in Micromeritics ASAP 2010 for BET surface and porosimetry analysis. Scanning electron microscopy images were obtained using a JEOL JSM-7800 scanning microscope (SCAI of Universidad de Cordoba) equipped with EDX at 20 kV. Transmission electron microscopy images were taken in a JEOL 2100 F TEM/STEM microscope. Elemental analysis was determined using inductively coupled plasma atomic absorption spectroscopy (ICP-OES) (PerkinElmer Optima 3300 DV). UV – vis transmission or diffuse-reflectance spectra were recorded with a Shimadzu UV2100 apparatus (using BaSO<sub>4</sub> as a reference for diffuse experiments). Photoluminescence spectra were measured at room temperature on a Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer LS50B). Trans- A VG Scientific photoelectron spectrometer ESCALAB-210 equipped with Mg Kα radiation (1486.6 eV) was used for the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements, operated at 15 kV and 20 mA. Survey spectra in the energy range from 0 to 1350 eV were recorded using 0.4 eV steps while high-resolution spectra were recorded with 0.1 eV steps, 100 ms dwell time and 25 eV pass energy. Curve fitting was carried out using the CasaXPS software in which aromatic carbon C1s peak (284.6 eV) was used as the reference for binding energy calibration.

### 2.3. Photocatalytic experiment

Photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> production was performed in added 50 mL MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O solution (vol. ratio = 3:7) inside a double wall Pyrex reactor with a cooling system to control the temperature (25 °C), avoiding significant evaporation of the sacrificial agent. A 500 W Xe lamp was used as irradiation source, which was equipped with a light cut-off filter to select the desired wavelength (UV light: 280–400 nm; Visible light: 420–680 nm). 25 mg catalysts were suspended in the reaction solution with continuous stirring. The suspension was degassed with Ar stream (100 mL/min) for 30 min and then the Ar flow rate was set at 10 mL/min for the reaction. After 30 min at dark condition, the lamp was switched on with desired irradiation wavelength and the outlet gas was analyzed by an online mass spectroscopy.

### 2.4. Quantum efficiency calculation

Quantum Efficiency (Q.E.) parameter was used to compare and present the catalytic results. Q.E. is defined by Eq. (1) [37].

$$Q.E. (\%) = 100 \times \frac{n \times r \text{ (mol m}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}\text{)}}{e^a \text{ (Einstein m}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}\text{)}} \quad (1)$$

In this equation,  $r$  is the reaction rate and  $e^a$  the average local volumetric rate of photon absorption.  $n$  can be 1 or 2 depending of the reaction mechanism proposed in the literature (see details in the discussion section 6 “Mechanism” of the Supporting Information).  $e^a$  calculation requires the solution of the Radiative Transfer Equation (RTE) in the reactor which can be expressed by Eq. (2).

Eq. (2) describes the specific intensities, along the directional spatial coordinate ( $s$ ). [23,38]

$$\frac{dI_{\lambda,\Omega}(x)}{ds} = -\kappa_{\lambda} I_{\lambda,\Omega}(x) - \sigma_{\lambda} I_{\lambda,\Omega}(x) + \frac{\sigma_{\lambda}}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega'=4\pi} p(\Omega' \rightarrow \Omega) I_{\lambda,\Omega'} \quad (2)$$

The solution of the integro-differential Eq. (2) provides the value of the intensity of photons for each differential elementary volume of the reactor and direction considered of radiation propagation and the wavelength. Its solution requires the determination of the optical parameters (spectral absorption coefficient ( $\kappa_{\lambda}$ ), spectral scattering

coefficient ( $\sigma_\lambda$ ) and scattering phase ( $p(\Omega' \rightarrow \Omega)$ ) of the catalysts suspensions (See Supporting Information, Section 3.1. Optical properties of the suspension. Solution of RTE for the spectrophotometer cell; one dimensional-one directional model). The scattering phase function was calculated using the Henyey and Greenstein which has been used with good results in similar optical systems. [38–40]

$$p(\Omega' \rightarrow \Omega) = \frac{1 - g_\lambda^2}{(1 + g_\lambda^2 - 2g_\lambda u_0)^{3/2}} \quad (3)$$

Where  $g_\lambda^2$  is the asymmetry factor and  $u_0$ , the director cosine between ingoing at outgoing radiation. Extinction coefficients ( $\beta_\lambda$ ) were obtained by applying a standard linear regression to the plots of  $\beta_\lambda$  versus catalyst concentration  $C$  in a broad range ( $0.1\text{--}5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g L}^{-1}$ ). Optical properties were estimated by solving the RTE using the discrete ordinate method (MOD) in a rectangular spectrophotometer cell following a procedure developed previously. [39,41]

Once the optical properties of the catalyst(s) have been determined, the evaluation of the radiation field inside the photoreactor can be carried out. The MOD tool was used to transform the integro-differential Eq. (2) into a system of algebraic equations that can be solved numerically. Complete procedure is detailed in the Supporting Information (Section 3.2. Optical properties of the suspension. Solution of RTE for the photoreactor setup; two dimensional-two directional model) and allows obtaining of  $e^a$  (local volumetric rate of photon absorption) at each point of the photoreactor (Eq. (4)).

$$e^a = \int_\lambda \kappa_\lambda \cdot \int_{\Omega=4\pi} I_{\lambda,\Omega}(\underline{x}) d\Omega d\lambda \quad (4)$$

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization

##### 3.1.1. Structural and morphological analysis

The XRD diffraction patterns of the active  $\text{TiO}_2$  support and  $\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$  photo-catalytic systems are displayed in Fig. 1. The analysis of the diffraction patterns only allowed the identification of Anatase phase; JCPDS card 78-2486, corresponding to the I41/amd space group. Raman analysis of titania also confirmed the absence of the Rutile phase in the titania support. As can be seen in Fig. S3 of Supporting Information, the analysis only detected the presence of contributions at ca. 144, 195, 399, 517 and  $639 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  which can be exclusively ascribed to Anatase phase. [42,43] For the composite materials, we highlight the lack of Rutile-type  $\text{RuO}_2$  contributions, typically detected at 232, 447 and  $612 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (results not shown). [44] This is confirmed by numerical calculation of crystallite size, microtensions and cell parameters of the anatase structure as it is summarized in Table 1. Crystallite size of the  $\text{TiO}_2$  particles remain constant (ca  $16 \pm 2 \text{ nm}$ ) since the subsequent modification of the samples only targets a




Fig. 1. XRD spectra of xRu/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples and TiO<sub>2</sub> references.

Table 1

XRD-derived size, microstrain and cell parameters for TiO<sub>2</sub> Anatase phase.

Sample	Size (nm) TiO <sub>2</sub>	Microstrain ( $\times 10^{-3}$ ) TiO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub> Anatase Cell parameters (Å)	
			a = b	c
TiO <sub>2</sub>	16.4	1.80	3.786	9.497
1Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	16.2	1.61	3.786	9.498
2Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	16.5	1.58	3.783	9.493
3Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	16.1	1.92	3.785	9.491
5Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	16.5	1.59	3.783	9.491


Table 2

Band Gap and morphological properties of Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples and TiO<sub>2</sub> reference.

sample	Band gap (eV)	BET surface area ( $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ )	pore volume ( $\text{cm}^3 \text{g}^{-1}$ )	pore size (nm)
TiO <sub>2</sub>	3.1 <sub>5</sub>	44	0.097	8.33
1Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	3.0	46	0.103	7.56
2Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	3.0	47	0.104	7.37
3Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	2.9	47	0.109	7.70
5Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	2.8	47	0.111	7.76

superficial stable deposition of Ru. Microtension and cell parameters also showed an unwavering character. In particular, doping of anatase can be disregarded. Morphological properties obtained by nitrogen physisorption are dominated by the major TiO<sub>2</sub> component. No noticeable effect can be detected in the morphological properties of the samples when the Ru component is deposited on the anatase structure (Table 2). Samples presented very similar BET surface area and porosity parameters which, as mentioned, is a consequence of the limited influence of the ruthenium compound deposition on the titania support structure.

The interaction between the components and the physico-chemical properties of the minor Ru component can be analyzed using XPS. Chemical composition of the surface was evaluated from the analysis of the C1s, Ti2p and Ru3p and Ru3d XPS regions. Analysis of Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples corresponds to a relatively complex task taking into account that overlapping effects coming from close enough peak position(s) can be encountered between C-Ru and Ru-Ti contributions. [33,45] XPS information concerning Ti2p and Ru3p for the samples and the TiO<sub>2</sub> reference is graphically depicted in Fig. 2A. Besides it, Fig. 2B includes a representative example of the fitting procedure. Spectra presented in Fig. 2A show that the binding energy of Ti2p peaks are located at 458.1 and 458.8 eV, values typical of the Ti(IV) oxidation state. [46] XPS Ti2p<sub>3/2</sub>-Ru3p<sub>5/2</sub> energy region shows a relative low, but clearly defined increase of width (Table 3) which can be associated with the surface deposition of Ru. As can be seen in Figs. 2A and B (fitting procedure; example for 2Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample) this energy region shows contributions which can be fitted considered a dominant Ru (IV) oxidation state. In particular, lines at 461.8 (3p<sub>3/2</sub>) and 484.6 eV (3p<sub>1/2</sub>) are typical of the Ru(IV) oxidation. [47,48] The same conclusion can be extracted from the Ru 3d XPS region (Fig. 2C and D). Deconvolution analysis of all samples presented a well-defined contribution at c.a. 280.6 eV (3d<sub>5/2</sub>) which are typical of the Ru (IV) oxidation state. [47–49] Taking into account the contribution of the 3d<sub>5/2</sub> line, the final fitting approach shown in panel D of Fig. 2 considers Ru3d (two) contributions and two more associated to different superficial carbonaceous species from spurious entities. [46] A summary of XPS fitting results is presented in Table 3. XPS analysis, besides providing electronic information of the components, also allows carrying out an important elemental analysis of the surface. Although the chemical structure seems to be defined by the synthesis method, the exposed Ru concentration showed only a rough linear behaviour (Table 2). Fig. 3 shows Ru/Ti atomic superficial



**Fig. 2.** (A) Ti 2p and Ru 3p XPS spectra for the xRu/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples. (B) Representative example of the fitting procedure for the Ti 2p (Red) and Ru 3p (Blue) contributions. (C) C1s and Ru 3d XPS spectra for the xRu/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples. (D) Representative example of the fitting procedure for the C1s (Red) and Ru 3d (Blue) contributions.

**Table 3**  
XPS Data for the xRu/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples.

Sample	Ti2p <sub>3/2</sub> (eV)	Ru3d <sub>5/2</sub> (eV)	O1s (eV)	Ti2p <sub>1/2</sub> + Ru3p <sub>3/2</sub> width (eV) <sup>a</sup>	Ru/Ti at. %	Ru/Ti at. % (ICP-OES)
TiO <sub>2</sub>	458.3	–	529.8	2.2 <sup>b</sup>	–	–
1Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	458.3	280.7	529.7	2.4	0.287	0.003
2Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	458.4	280.8	529.8	2.4	0.409	0.006
3Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	458.4	280.8	529.7	2.5	0.432	0.008
5Ru/TiO <sub>2</sub>	458.4	280.6	529.7	2.5	0.697	0.011

<sup>a</sup> Experimental full width at medium height (FMWH). <sup>b</sup> width only ascribable to the Ti2p<sub>1/2</sub> component.

concentration (Ru/Ti<sub>XPS</sub>) as a function of Ru/Ti ratio obtained by ICP-OES (Ru/Ti<sub>e.a.</sub>). This ratio provides a more simple view or comparison of these observables. In both cases, the Ru/Ti ratio obviously increased as a consequence of the increasing of the Ru amount in the materials,

although in the case of surface concentration, the 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> seems above the linear trend suggested by the other three samples. This would indicate a relatively larger dispersion of the metal for this sample with respect to the remaining ones.

Additional information about the nanostructured Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples were obtained by SEM-mapping and TEM. Figs. 4A and B show SEM micro-images of the two samples with Ru highest concentrations. It also included on both figures, the elemental mapping analysis. Mapping panels clearly show that Ti, O, C, and Ru elements are truly present in the samples. RuO<sub>2</sub> seems dispersed onto the support with reasonable homogeneity. In any case, no definitive conclusion regarded the Ru component distribution can be reached from these figures. Fig. 5A–G presents representative TEM images for samples with 2, 3 and 5 wt. % of Ru. Detailed views of the materials show titania-platelet particles which have some smaller Ru particles on the surface. A proper particle size distribution can be obtained from the TEM analysis. Samples with 2, 3 and 5 wt. % were analyzed displaying the RuO<sub>x</sub> size distribution presented in Fig. 5H–J, respectively. The mean particle size for 2Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> and 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> obtained after counting more than 150






Fig. 3. Bulk  $(\text{Ru/Ti})_{\text{e.a.}}$  and Superficial  $(\text{Ru/Ti})_{\text{XPS}}$  ratio of the  $x\text{Ru/TiO}_2$  samples.

nanoparticles were c.a. 5 nm while the  $5\text{Ru/TiO}_2$  showed an average size increase of about 2 nm was detected.

### 3.1.2. Optical properties analysis

For photocatalytic applications, optical properties and particularly the calculation of the light-matter interaction at the reactor are particularly relevant observables. In this work, we combine a typical UV–vis analysis with a strict calculation of Local Volumetric Rate of Photon Absorption ( $e^a$ ). Starting with the UV–vis, samples presented UV–vis spectra dominated by the major  $\text{TiO}_2$  component (Fig. 6), displaying the characteristic intensity decay for a band gap energy of ca. 3.2 eV. [50] A gradual reduction of this parameter from 3.1 to 2.8 eV was detected with further increasing of the Ru nanoparticles on the structure (Table 2). This combines with a significant absorption in the visible region derived from plasmon resonances of the ruthenium oxide. [34–36] This remarkable enhancement (with respect to the titania reference) of light appears, as mentioned, in the whole visible range, being potentially positive for the catalytic properties as will be discussed in next sections.

The band gap parameter describes the optical absorption of the

samples but the calculation of Local Volumetric Rate of Photon Absorption gives the optical profile of the catalytic suspension under the illumination condition used to obtain the catalytic properties. [37] To calculate it, first, we must determine the optical properties of the catalytic suspension (Fig. 7) using a spectrophotometric cell (See details of the modelling procedure in Supporting information). These optical properties allow the evaluation of the radiation field inside the photo-reactor from which the absorption profile through the reactor can be obtained (See details of the modelling procedure in Supporting information). Panels for the Local Volumetric Rate of Photon Absorption observable were expressed in two spatial coordinates according to the cylindrical geometry of the liquid reactor. They are presented in Fig. 8. No appreciable differences, taking into account the model error, were observed among the catalysts studied under UV illumination condition (upper panels). However, as aforementioned, the enhancement of the absorption capability under visible conditions affects the Local Volumetric Rate of Photon Absorption observable. As can be seen in the lower row panels of Fig. 8, an enhancement of this observable was detected under visible irradiation conditions. The most pronounced difference in comparison to  $\text{TiO}_2$  references was obtained, as expected, for the  $5\text{Ru/TiO}_2$  sample (enhancement factor of 1.1).

### 3.2. Activity

During the photocatalytic methanol reforming process, several elemental steps can be considered. The mechanism has been studied using different technics and approaches (DRIFTS, GS–MS, Isotopic exchange experiments ( $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ ), EPR, spectro-kinetic modeling, among others), [16–18,51–54] and can be summarized in the elemental steps presented in Table S2 of the Supporting Information. Steps (1–3) are based on the well-established initial elemental steps of photocatalytic processes: (1) the photo-excited sample generates electrons and holes, (2) holes may react with adsorbed water and superficial OH-ions to generate hydroxyl radicals, and (3) recombination of electrons and holes and the resulting loss of energy [55,56]. According to the reaction scheme of previous reports, the oxidations steps can occur through a direct or indirect path using  $(h^+)$  or  $(\text{OH}\cdot)$  radical species, respectively (Table S2). [16] Is important to mention that, a process called “current-doubling effect” can happen. In this case, the hole-capture species is transformed to a radical anion intermediate and then injects an electron into the conduction band of the catalyst [52,52,53,54]. This process, associated




Fig. 4. SEM images of  $3\text{Ru/TiO}_2$  (A), and  $5\text{Ru/TiO}_2$  (C). EDX mapping of Ti (left), O (middle) and Ru (right).




Fig. 5. TEM images for the (A–B) 2Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>, (C–D) 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> and (E–F) 5Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>. Ru particle size distributions for (G) 2Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>, (H) 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> and (I) 5Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>.




Fig. 6. UV-vis spectra of xRu/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples and TiO<sub>2</sub> reference.

with Eqs. (5) and (6), would imply that only one photon would be necessary to produce one hydrogen molecule. Alternatively (equation 7 of Table S2) a second hydroxyl radical can interact with the organic radical anion and would render a product of the reaction.

As it is described in the previous section, catalytic properties of the samples were evaluated by using both the reaction rate and the

quantum efficiency observables. Quantum efficiency is not only the IUPAC recommended way to present photocatalytic results but also a general parameter to carry out quantitative comparisons with other literature data. [37,57] As aforementioned, the value of  $n$  in Eq. (1) (and Eqs. S5 and S6) will depends of the number of electron and proton available to produce H<sub>2</sub>. In others word,  $n$  value will be 1 if “current-doubling effect” is taking into account. In contrast, if it is assumed that the transfer of two electrons is required to reduce two protons and thus to produce one H<sub>2</sub> molecule,  $n$  is equal to 2. Table S1 of Supporting Information presents Q.E. values considering both assumptions. The photonic efficiency (P.E.), also called Apparent Quantum Efficiency, [58] have been also calculated and presented in Table S1 of supporting information. Q.E. shows higher values for both irradiation sources, in comparison with the values obtained for the P.E. The most active sample (3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>) shows enhancement factors (Q.E. vs. P.E.) of 1.1 and 1.2 for UV and ST illumination conditions, respectively.

Fig. 9 summarizes reaction rate (mol m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and quantum efficiency (Einstein m<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) values obtained under both UV and visible irradiation. Q.E. represented in Fig. 9 were obtained assuming 2 for the  $n$  value. This allows comparison with literature in which 2 photons were counted during the Q.E. calculation. Reaction rate shows that all samples containing the Ru co-catalyst display enhanced activity with respect to the support, with a clear maximum situated at about 2–3 wt. % of Ru. Focusing on quantum efficiency; values of 3.1 and 0.6% were obtained for UV and visible illumination conditions, respectively. Comparison with literature reports is relatively difficult. Ru supported on titania materials have been tested recently for CO<sub>2</sub> photo-reduction




Fig. 7. Optical properties of xRu/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples and TiO<sub>2</sub> reference.

showing the importance of the Ru metallic state to boost activity. [59] More classical studies of Ru promoted titania materials concern the photo-degradation of dyes [60]. To our knowledge, a single reference concerns the publication of previous results for methanol photo-reforming using Ru on titania materials. A sample having a 0.7 wt. % of Ru rendered an apparent quantum efficiency of 1.2% under UV light [33]. This can be compared with a value of 3.1 calculated for the 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample. We thus multiply by ca. 2.6 the previous result of a ruthenium-based catalyst. Note that our material having similar Ru content (the 1Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample) also displays a larger apparent quantum efficiency value, 2.3%. Finally, it is interesting to note that the quantum efficiency allows calculating the expected activity of the materials for solar light application. The 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample would render c.a. 0.9 quantum efficiency value under sunlight (AM1.5 standard).


In order to explore the influence of charge mobility/recombination process, we have measured photoluminescence of Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples (Fig. 10A and B) under UV (320 nm) and visible (450 nm) excitation, respectively. Spectra are dominated by two kinds of transitions corresponding to the annihilation of conduction band free electrons with trapped holes and valence band free holes with trapped electrons. [19,61] The profiles obtained show very similar behaviour and broad peaks at ca. 425, 480 and 500 (UV excitation) and 615 and 640 (visible excitation) nm, dominated by the anatase major component, which is in accordance with previous reports of co-catalysts-titania materials [19,23,58,61]. As aforementioned, samples display very marginal differences which does not allow the adequate yet simple analysis of recombination in terms of the overall intensity. Thus we can note that the most active sample (3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>) presents lower photoluminescence

intensity under both UV and VIS irradiation conditions. This would indicate that the interaction between the Ru compound-titania favours the decrease of the charge recombination with respect to the titania reference, irrespective of the light wavelength.


As summarized in Table 4, comparison with Pt or Pd samples over titania support is rather interesting. 1Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> and 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> samples render comparable results compared with samples in which Pt and Pd were deposited on a Nb-doped titania previously synthesized by a microwave-assisted method. [19] The optimum quantum efficiency value obtained for Ru-containing (3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>) and Pt-containing (1 wt. % of platinum onto a Nb-doped titania obtained by reverse microemulsion method) samples using the same reaction setup indicates larger values (ca. 40%) with respect to Pd but lower values (40%) with respect to Pt. [23] Activity of ruthenium samples is thus competitive, even when compared with Pt, considering that the price of a ruthenium-based catalyst would significantly reduce with respect to platinum-palladium-based ones.

Within our series of samples, it seems clear that the increase of Ru concentration increases the size of the ruthenium oxide nanoparticles (Fig. 3), which in turn translates into a more heterogeneous distribution (Fig. 5G-I). Additionally, the variation of the behaviour of the surface concentration vs the bulk counterpart seems to have a significant influence on the activity. In fact, as it is shown in Fig. 11, the relationship between the surface (measured with XPS) and bulk (measured with ICP-OES) Ru/Ti ratios describes a similar profile than the quantum efficiency of the samples for both illumination sources tested in the work. This result suggests that ruthenium superficial concentration interface plays an important role on the activity of our samples. Such a






**Fig. 8.** Local volumetric rate of photon absorption ( $\text{Einstein cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$ ). From A to E:  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $1\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $2\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $3\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $5\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$  under UV irradiation. From F to J:  $\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $1\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $2\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $3\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$ ,  $5\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$  under Visible irradiation.



**Fig. 9.** Reaction rate and quantum efficiency obtained under UV and visible illumination for  $\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$  samples.

conclusion would, in fact, indicate a cooperative catalytic effect grounded in several factors; size and distribution of  $\text{RuO}_2$  nanoparticles, increasing visible light absorption with Ru content and a positive photo handling of charge carrier after excitation. The photo-handling of charge carriers through the interface would be dependent on the ruthenium loading. The band gap of nanometer  $\text{RuO}_2$  type particles strongly depends on the primary particle size, defect structure and crystallinity, going from a value of ca. 2.1 typical of the bulk oxide to below of 1.5 eV. [62,63]. While the bulk oxide is likely a hole acceptor while in contact with anatase, the large shift of the conduction and valence bands observed for some nanosized materials does not allow to make a clear statement in the case of supported Ru-containing oxide clusters. Here they appear to power the electron collection to render hydrogen in a direct or, more likely, an indirect way by generating adequate interface sites with titania to handle electrons created though



**Fig. 10.** Photoluminescence spectra of samples under UV (A, 320 nm) and Visible (B, 450 nm) excitation.

**Table 4**

Comparison of quantum efficiency values for the  $1\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$  and  $3\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$  samples and Pt/Pd co-catalysts titania-based samples under UV and Sunlight-type (S.T.) illumination conditions.

Sample	Novel metal wt. %	UV Q.E. (%)	S.T. Q.E. (%)	Reference
$1\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$	1	2.3	0.1	This work
$3\text{Ru}/\text{TiO}_2$	3	3.1	0.6	This work
$0.025\text{NbTi}/\text{Pt}^{\text{a}}$	1	5.1	2.5	[23]
$3\text{NbTi}/\text{Pt}^{\text{b}}$	1	1.9	1.6	[19]
$3\text{NbTi}/\text{Pd}^{\text{c}}$	1	0.0 <sub>6</sub>	0.0 <sub>1</sub>	[19]

<sup>a</sup>  $0.025\text{NbTi}/\text{Pt}$ : 1 wt. % of Pt on Nb-doped Titania. (Titania doped with 2.5 Nb mol. %).

<sup>b</sup>  $3\text{NbTi}/\text{Pt}$ : 1 wt. % of Pt on Nb-doped Titania. (Titania doped with 3 Nb mol. %).

<sup>c</sup>  $3\text{NbTi}/\text{Pd}$ : 1 wt. % of Pd on Nb-doped Titania. (Titania doped with 3 Nb mol. %).






Fig. 11. Relationship between the surface (Ru/Ti<sub>XPS</sub>) and bulk (Ru/Ti<sub>ea</sub>) Ru/Ti ratios and Quantum Efficiency.

titania excitation and/or ruthenium oxide plasmon resonances. Surface sites at the ruthenium oxide - titania interface have been shown to play a role in promoting photo-oxidation with respect to the bare titania reference [49]. The exact role of the interface in our case remains however to be verified but the nanometer size (with concomitant defect structure likely affected by both size and interface effects with titania) of the Ru-containing component at the titania-based materials does not allow an easy study of this point using the collection of spectroscopies here utilized. Only the use of nanometer size (excitation) beams for spectroscopic tools (for example in UPS/XPS) would allow the obtaining of further information. However, this technology is currently not available.

In spite that the unknown exact mechanism by which the Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> showed high activity in hydrogen photo-production, all the above mentioned physical phenomena would contribute to the activity of the Ru titania composite samples. The maximum of activity in our case seems related to the control of the primary particle size of Ru component and the simultaneous maximization of the three factors just mentioned. This optimum combination is achieved with the 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample.

#### 4. Conclusions

A series of ruthenium-based catalysts with weight loadings from 1 to 5% were deposited using a chemical reduction method into a highly crystalline anatase support. The support appears essentially unaltered by the deposition method. The resulting noble metal phase appears an oxidized Ru(IV) type phase in all cases. This component has an average primary particle size below 5 nm for loadings equal to or below 3 wt % and around 7 nm for the 5Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> sample. Introduction of the Ru component alters significantly the optical properties, particularly in the visible region, of the catalysts with respect to the bare support. This can be ascribed to RuO<sub>2</sub> plasmon resonance related effects.

The photo-activity of the samples for methanol photo-reforming was investigated through the measurement of the hydrogen photo-production reaction rate and quantum efficiency values. The activity under both UV and visible illumination increases in presence Ru up to a loading of 3 wt. %. For the 3Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst we obtained quantum efficiency values of ca. 3.1 and 0.6% for, respectively, UV and visible light illumination. The comparison of catalytic data with the ratio between surface and bulk values of the Ru/Ti observable indicates that ruthenium superficial concentration itself and/or the Ru oxide - titania interface play an important role(s) in driving the activity of our samples. As this result appears for all illumination conditions, we would suggest that charge recombination is a key property in driving the activity of the materials. However, in addition to this property, we highlight the importance of the visible light performance of the catalysts, mostly relying on the surface plasmon resonance of the ruthenium

oxide.

#### Acknowledgments

A. Kubacka and M. Fernández-García are thankful to MINECO (Spain) for supporting the work carried out through the ENE2016-77798-C4-1-R grant. Mario J. Muñoz-Batista gratefully acknowledges MINECO for a JdC contract (Ref. FJCI-2016-29014). Rafael Luque funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 641861 (for funding Weyi Ouyang Ph.D studies). The publication has been prepared with support of RUDN University Program 5-100.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.07.046>.

#### References

- [1] D. Ravelli, D. Dondi, M. Fagnoni, A. Albini, Photocatalysis. A multi-faceted concept for green chemistry, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 38 (2009) 1999–2011, <https://doi.org/10.1039/b714786b>.
- [2] J.C. Colmenares, R. Luque, Heterogeneous photocatalytic nanomaterials: prospects and challenges in selective transformations of biomass-derived compounds, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43 (2014) 765–778, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CS60262A>.
- [3] A. Kubacka, M. Fernández-García, G. Colón, Advanced nanoarchitectures for solar photocatalytic applications, *Chem. Rev.* 112 (2012) 1555–1614, <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr100454n>.
- [4] M.-Q. Yang, N. Zhang, M. Pagliaro, Y.-J. Xu, Artificial photosynthesis over graphene-semiconductor composites. Are we getting better? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 43 (2014) 8240–8254, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00213J>.
- [5] S. Liu, C. Han, Z.-R. Tang, Y.-J. Xu, Heterostructured semiconductor nanowire arrays for artificial photosynthesis, *Mater. Horizons* 3 (2016) 270–282, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C6MH00063K>.
- [6] L. Yuan, C. Han, M.-Q. Yang, Y.-J. Xu, Photocatalytic water splitting for solar hydrogen generation: fundamentals and recent advancements, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 35 (2016) 1–36, <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144235X.2015.1127027>.
- [7] J. Ran, T.Y. Ma, G. Gao, X.-W. Du, S.Z. Qiao, Porous P-doped graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets for synergistically enhanced visible-light photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> production, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 8 (2015) 3708–3717, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5EE02650D>.
- [8] J. Ran, X. Wang, B. Zhu, S.-Z. Qiao, Strongly interactive 0D/2D hetero-structure of a ZnxCd1-xS nano-particle decorated phosphorene nano-sheet for enhanced visible-light photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> production, *Chem. Commun.* 53 (2017) 9882–9885, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CC05466A>.
- [9] B. Weng, S. Liu, Z.-R. Tang, Y.-J. Xu, One-dimensional nanostructure based materials for versatile photocatalytic applications, *RSC Adv.* 4 (2014) 12685–12700, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3ra47910b>.
- [10] J.C. Colmenares, R.F. Colmenares Quintero, I.S. Pieta, Catalytic dry reforming for biomass-based fuels processing: progress and future perspectives, *Energy Technol.* 4 (2016) 881–890, <https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.201600195>.
- [11] L. Yuan, N. Zhang, Y.-J. Xu, J.C. Colmenares, Solar-chemical energy conversion by photocatalysis, *Heterog. Photocatal.* Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016, pp. 249–282, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48719-8\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48719-8_8).
- [12] G. Editors, D. Nocera, D. Guldi, Renewable energy issue energy research, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 38 (2009) 253–278, <https://doi.org/10.1039/b800489g>.
- [13] K. Maeda, K. Domen, Photocatalytic Water splitting: recent progress and future challenges, *J. Org. Chem. Lett.* 1 (2010) 2655–2661, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jz1007966>.
- [14] X. Li, J. Yu, Water splitting by photocatalytic reduction, *Water Split. By Photocatalytic Reduct.* Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2016, pp. 175–210, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48719-8\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-48719-8_6).
- [15] K. Maeda, K. Domen, New non-oxide photocatalysts designed for overall water splitting under visible light, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 111 (2007) 7851–7861, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp070911w>.
- [16] G.L. Chiarello, D. Ferri, E. Selli, Effect of the CH<sub>3</sub>OH/H<sub>2</sub>O ratio on the mechanism of the gas-phase photocatalytic reforming of methanol on noble metal-modified TiO<sub>2</sub>, *J. Catal.* 280 (2011) 168–177, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2011.03.013>.
- [17] G.L. Chiarello, M.H. Aguirre, E. Selli, Hydrogen production by photocatalytic steam reforming of methanol on noble metal-modified TiO<sub>2</sub>, *J. Catal.* 273 (2010) 182–190, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2010.05.012>.
- [18] O. Fontelles-Carceller, M.J. Muñoz-Batista, J.C. Conesa, A. Kubacka, M. Fernández-García, H<sub>2</sub> photo-production from methanol, ethanol and 2-propanol: Pt-(Nb)TiO<sub>2</sub> performance under UV and visible light, *Mol. Catal.* 446 (2018) 88–97, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2017.12.023>.
- [19] U. Caudillo-Flores, M.J. Muñoz-Batista, J.A. Cortés, M. Fernández-García, A. Kubacka, UV and visible light driven H<sub>2</sub> photo-production using Nb-doped TiO<sub>2</sub>:

- comparing Pt and Pd co-catalysts, *Mol. Catal.* 437 (2017) 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2017.04.035>.
- [20] G. Wu, T. Chen, W. Su, G. Zhou, X. Zong, Z. Lei, C. Li, H<sub>2</sub> production with ultra-low CO selectivity via photocatalytic reforming of methanol on Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 33 (2008) 1243–1251, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2007.12.020>.
- [21] A.A. Nada, H.A. Hamed, M.H. Barakat, N.R. Mohamed, T.N. Veziroglu, Enhancement of photocatalytic hydrogen production rate using photosensitized TiO<sub>2</sub>/RuO<sub>2</sub>-MV<sub>2</sub>+, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 33 (2008) 3264–3269, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.04.027>.
- [22] M.R. Hoffmann, S.T. Martin, W. Choi, D.W. Bahnemann, Environmental applications of semiconductor photocatalysis, *Chem. Rev.* 95 (1995) 69–96, <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr00033a004>.
- [23] O. Fontelles-Carceller, M.J. Muñoz-Batista, J.C. Conesa, M. Fernández-García, A. Kubacka, UV and visible hydrogen photo-production using Pt promoted Nb-doped TiO<sub>2</sub> photo-catalysts: interpreting quantum efficiency, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 216 (2017) 133–145, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.05.022>.
- [24] M.J. Muñoz-Batista, D. Rodríguez-Padrón, A.R. Puente-Santiago, A. Kubacka, R. Luque, M. Fernández-García, Sunlight-driven hydrogen production using an annular flow photoreactor and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>-based catalysts, *ChemPhotoChem.* (2018) 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cptc.201800064>.
- [25] R. Su, R. Tiruvallam, A.J. Logsdail, Q. He, C.A. Downing, M.T. Jensen, N. Dimitratos, L. Kesavan, P.P. Wells, R. Bechstein, H.H. Jensen, S. Wendt, C.R.A. Catlow, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, F. Besenbacher, Designer titania-supported Au–Pd nanoparticles for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production, *ACS Nano* 8 (2014) 3490–3497, <https://doi.org/10.1021/nn500963m>.
- [26] T. Sreethawong, S. Yoshikawa, Comparative investigation on photocatalytic hydrogen evolution over Cu-, Pd-, and Au-loaded mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts, *Catal. Commun.* 6 (2005) 661–668, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2005.06.004>.
- [27] S. Obregón, M.J. Muñoz-Batista, M. Fernández-García, A. Kubacka, G. Colón, Cu–TiO<sub>2</sub> systems for the photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> production: influence of structural and surface support features, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 179 (2015) 468–478, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.05.043>.
- [28] A. Kubacka, M.J. Muñoz-Batista, M. Fernández-García, S. Obregón, G. Colón, Evolution of H<sub>2</sub> photoproduction with Cu content on CuOx–TiO<sub>2</sub> composite catalysts prepared by a microemulsion method, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 163 (2015) 214–222, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2014.08.005>.
- [29] M.J. Muñoz-Batista, D. Motta Meira, G. Colón, A. Kubacka, M. Fernández-García, Phase-contact engineering in mono- and bimetallic Cu–Ni Co-catalysts for hydrogen photocatalytic materials, *Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.* 57 (2018) 1199–1203, <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201709552>.
- [30] D. Barreca, P. Fornasiero, A. Gasparotto, V. Gombac, C. Maccato, T. Montini, E. Tonello, The potential of supported Cu<sub>2</sub>O and CuO nanosystems in photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> production, *ChemSusChem* 2 (2009) 230–233, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.200900032>.
- [31] S. Shen, L. Zhao, Z. Zhou, L. Guo, Enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution over Cu-doped ZnIn<sub>2</sub>S<sub>4</sub> under visible light irradiation, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 112 (2008) 16148–16155, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp804525q>.
- [32] T. Montini, V. Gombac, L. Sordelli, J.J. Delgado, X. Chen, G. Adami, P. Fornasiero, Nanostructured Cu/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts for H<sub>2</sub> production from ethanol and glycerol aqueous solutions, *ChemCatChem* 3 (2011) 574–577, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201000289>.
- [33] Q. Gu, J. Long, L. Fan, L. Chen, L. Zhao, H. Lin, X. Wang, Single-site Sn-grafted Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysts for biomass reforming: synergistic effect of dual co-catalysts and molecular mechanism, *J. Catal.* 303 (2013) 141–155, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.03.014>.
- [34] A.D. Dunkelberger, R. Compton, P.A. Desario, D. Weidinger, B.T. Spann, I.R. Pala, C.N. Chervin, D.R. Rolison, K. Bussmann, P.D. Cunningham, J.S. Melinger, B.G. Alberding, E.J. Heilweil, J.C. Owrutsky, Transient optical and terahertz spectroscopy of nanoscale films of RuO, *Plasmonics* 2 (2017) 743–750, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11468-016-0321-3>.
- [35] M.A. Asi, C. He, M. Su, D. Xia, L. Lin, H. Deng, Y. Xiong, R. Qiu, X. Li, Photocatalytic reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to hydrocarbons using AgBr/TiO<sub>2</sub> 2 nanocomposites under visible light, *Catal. Today* 175 (2011) 256–263, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cet.2018.04.094>.
- [36] M.T. Uddin, Y. Nicolas, C. Olivier, L. Servant, T. Toupance, S. Li, A. Klein, W. Jaegermann, Improved photocatalytic activity in RuO<sub>2</sub>–ZnO nanoparticulate heterostructures due to inhomogeneous space charge effects, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 17 (2015) 5090–5102, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CP04780J>.
- [37] S.E. Braslavsky, A.M. Braun, A.E. Cassano, A.V. Emeline, M.I. Litter, L. Palmisano, V.N. Parmon, N. Serpone, Glossary of terms used in photocatalysis and radiation catalysis (IUPAC Recommendations 2011), *Pure Appl. Chem.* 83 (2011) 931–1014, <https://doi.org/10.1351/PAC-REC-09-09-36>.
- [38] J. Marugán, R. van Grieken, A.E. Cassano, O.M. Alfano, Intrinsic kinetic modeling with explicit radiation absorption effects of the photocatalytic oxidation of cyanide with TiO<sub>2</sub> and silica-supported TiO<sub>2</sub> suspensions, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 85 (2008) 48–60, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.06.026>.
- [39] L. María, Rodolfo J. Satuf, A.E. Brandi, O.M. Cassano, Alfano, Experimental method to evaluate the optical properties of aqueous titanium dioxide suspensions, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 44 (2005) 6643–6649, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ie050365y>.
- [40] G. Camera-roda, V. Loddio, L. Palmisano, F. Parrino, Guidelines for the assessment of the rate law of slurry photocatalytic reactions, *Catal. Today* 281 (2017) 221–230, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2016.06.050>.
- [41] M.I. Cabrera, O.M. Alfano, A.E. Cassano, María I. Cabrera, Absorption and scattering coefficients of titanium dioxide particulate suspensions in water, *J. Phys. Chem.* 100 (1996) 20043–20050, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp962095q>.
- [42] M. Mikami, S. Nakamura, O. Kitao, H. Arakawa, Lattice dynamics and dielectric properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> anatase: a first-principles study, *Phys. Rev. B* 66 (2002) 155213, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.66.155213>.
- [43] M. Fernández-García, A. Martínez-Arias, A. Fuente, J.C. Conesa, Nanostructured Ti–w mixed-metal oxides: structural and electronic properties, *J. Phys. Chem. B* (2005), <https://doi.org/10.1021/JP0465884>.
- [44] L.M. Martínez Tejada, A. Muñoz, M.A. Centeno, J.A. Odriozola, In-situ raman spectroscopy study of Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst in the selective methanation of CO, *J. Raman Spectrosc.* 47 (2016) 189–197, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrs.4774>.
- [45] Q. Gu, Z. Gao, S. Yu, C. Xue, Constructing Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> heteronanostructures toward enhanced photocatalytic water splitting via a RuO<sub>2</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> heterojunction and Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub> schottky junction, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 3 (2016) 17–21, <https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.201500631>.
- [46] M.J. Muñoz-Batista, A. Kubacka, M.N. Gómez-Cerezo, D. Tudela, M. Fernández-García, Sunlight-driven toluene photo-elimination using CeO<sub>2</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> composite systems: a kinetic study, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 140–141 (2013) 626–635, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2013.04.071>.
- [47] Christina Bock, Chantal Paquet, Martin Couillard, Gianluigi A. Botton, B.R. MacDougall, size-selected synthesis of PtRu nano-catalysts: reaction and size control mechanism, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* (2004) 8028–8037, <https://doi.org/10.1021/JA0495819>.
- [48] E.A. Paoli, F. Masini, R. Frydendal, D. Deiana, C. Schlaup, M. Malizia, T.W. Hansen, S. Horch, I.E.L. Stephens, I. Chorkendorff, Oxygen evolution on well-characterized mass-selected Ru and RuO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, *Chem. Sci.* 6 (2015) 190–196, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4SC02685C>.
- [49] S. Kundu, A.B. Vidal, M.A. Nadeem, S.D. Senanayake, H. Idriss, P. Liu, J.A. Rodriguez, D. Stacchiola, Ethanol photoreaction on RuO<sub>x</sub>/Ru-modified TiO<sub>2</sub> (110), *J. Phys. Chem. C* 117 (2013) 11149–11158, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp4015367>.
- [50] M.J. Muñoz-Batista, A. Kubacka, M. Fernández-García, Effect of g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> loading on TiO<sub>2</sub>-based photocatalysts: UV and visible degradation of toluene, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 4 (2014) 2006–2015, <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cy00226a>.
- [51] G.L. Chiarello, L. Forni, E. Selli, Photocatalytic hydrogen production by liquid- and gas-phase reforming of CH<sub>3</sub>OH over flame-made TiO<sub>2</sub> and Au/TiO<sub>2</sub>, *Catal. Today* 144 (2009) 69–74, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2009.01.023>.
- [52] O.I. Micic, Y. Zhang, K.R. Cromack, A.D. Trifunac, M.C. Thurnauer, Trapped holes on titania colloids studied by electron paramagnetic resonance, *J. Phys. Chem.* 97 (1993) 7277–7283, <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100130a026>.
- [53] A. Yamakata, T. Ishibashi, H. Onishi, Electron- and Hole-capture reactions on Pt/TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst exposed to methanol vapor studied with time-resolved infrared absorption spectroscopy, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 106 (2002) 9122–9125, <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp025993x>.
- [54] J. Hansen, R. Bebensee, U. Martinez, S. Porsgaard, E. Lira, Y. Wei, L. Lammich, Z. Li, H. Idriss, F. Besenbacher, B. Hammer, S. Wendt, Unravelling site-specific photo-reactions of ethanol on rutile TiO<sub>2</sub>(110), *Sci. Rep.* 6 (2016) 1–11, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep21990>.
- [55] M.J. Muñoz-Batista, A.M. Eslava-Castillo, A. Kubacka, M. Fernández-García, Thermo-photo degradation of 2-propanol using a composite ceria-titania catalyst: physico-chemical interpretation from a kinetic model, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 225 (2018) 298–306, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.11.073>.
- [56] M.J. Muñoz-Batista, M.M. Ballari, A.E. Cassano, O.M. Alfano, A. Kubacka, M. Fernández-García, Ceria promotion of acetaldehyde photo-oxidation in a TiO<sub>2</sub>-based catalyst: a spectroscopic and kinetic study, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 5 (2014) 1521–1531, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CY01293C>.
- [57] I.E. Wachs, S.P. Phivily, C.A. Roberts, Reporting of reactivity for heterogeneous photocatalysis, *ACS Catal.* 3 (2013) 2606–2611, <https://doi.org/10.1021/cs4005979>.
- [58] O. Fontelles-Carceller, M.J. Muñoz-Batista, E. Rodríguez-Castellón, J.C. Conesa, M. Fernández-García, A. Kubacka, Measuring and interpreting quantum efficiency for hydrogen photo-production using Pt-titania catalysts, *J. Catal.* 347 (2017) 157–169, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2017.01.012>.
- [59] L. Lin, K. Wang, K. Yang, X. Chen, X. Fu, W. Dai, The visible-light-assisted thermocatalytic methanation of CO<sub>2</sub> over Ru/TiO<sub>2</sub>(2-x)Nx, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* 204 (2017) 440–455, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.11.054>.
- [60] A.O. Ibadon, G.M. Greenway, Y. Yue, P. Falaras, D. Tsoukleri, The photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> foam and surface modified binary oxide titania nanoparticles, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem.* 197 (2008) 321–328, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2008.01.010>.
- [61] T. Tachikawa, T. Majima, Exploring the spatial distribution and transport behavior of charge carriers in a single titania nanowire, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 131 (2009) 8485–8495, <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja900194m>.
- [62] M.A. Khan, D.H. Han, O.-B. Yang, Enhanced photoresponse towards visible light in Ru doped titania nanotube, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 255 (2009) 3687–3690, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2008.10.021>.
- [63] F. El-Tantawy, A.A. Al-Ghamdi, A.A. Al-Ghamdi, Y.A. Al-Turki, A. Alshahrie, F. Al-Hazmi, O.A. Al-Hartomy, Optical properties of nanostructured ruthenium dioxide thin films via sol–gel approach, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* 28 (2017) 52–59, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-016-5491-4>.